A 4.5\% spending rate (\$45,000 constant dollar spending for $\$ 1$ million) does not deplete a portfolio that rode along the second-most harmful return sequence in history - defined by the percent of decline by the ninth year.

This sequence starts with the third worst three-year return for stocks in the last 98 years. It hit the ${ }^{\sim}$ worst one-year return in the ninth year (2008).

A portfolio depleted to $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ of its initial value in nine years. The constant dollar withdrawal rate was more that $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ the next year, but high returns after 2008 meant a portfolio did not spiral to depletion.


