FIRECalc and Vanguard Monte Carlo Retirement Withdrawal Calculators give very different results in portfolio value over time.

Display of year-by-year ending values for two series of six-year returns.

One series is returns from 1929 - 1934. a period of deflation. The other is from 1969 - 1974, a period of above average inflation. Both are in the top four of MOST HORRIBLE six-year sequences of real, inflation-adjusted stock returns since 1926. (Reference: see blog post of February 23, 2018)

Start with \$1 million. Withdraw spending for the upcoming year right before the start of that year.

Case #1. Very poor returns in a period of deflation: 1929 through 1934

FIRECalc method

| | Real retur | n rate = 75% | Real | Real Portfolio | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|
| | \$ rounded to nearest \$10 | | | Start | Return in | Value |
| | _ | Beg Value | Withdrawal | of Year | Year | end of year |
| 1 | 1929 | 1,000,000 | 45,000 | 955,000 | -5.64% | 901,160 |
| 2 | 1930 | 901,160 | 45,000 | 856,160 | -12.22% | 751,580 |
| 3 | 1931 | 751,580 | 45,000 | 706,580 | -26.86% | 516,770 |
| 4 | 1932 | 516,770 | 45,000 | 471,770 | 9.33% | 515,770 |
| 5 | 1933 | 515,770 | 45,000 | 470,770 | 39.76% | 657,960 |
| 6 | 1934 | 657,960 | 45,000 | 612,960 | -0.59% | 609,340 |

Vanguard Method

| | Nominal r | eturn rate = 7 | 75% stocks, 25% | Nominal | Amount | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| | \$ rounded | to nearest \$10 | 1 | Start | Return in | calculated at | Inflation |
| | | Beg Value | Withdrawal | of Year | Year | end of year | in year |
| 1 | 1929 | 1,000,000 | 45,000 | 955,000 | -5.09% | 906,430 | 0.58% |
| 2 | 1930 | 906,430 | 46,350 | 860,080 | -17.83% | 706,730 | -6.40% |
| 3 | 1931 | 706,730 | 47,740 | 658,990 | -33.68% | 437,060 | -9.32% |
| 4 | 1932 | 437,060 | 49,170 | 387,890 | -1.90% | 380,500 | -10.27% |
| 5 | 1933 | 380,500 | 50,650 | 329,850 | 40.83% | 464,530 | 0.76% |
| 6 | 1934 | 464,530 | 52,170 | 412,360 | 0.92% | 416,140 | 1.52% |

Vanguard method looks much WORSE – more than 30% worse – than what really happened to a portfolio's real spending power.

| Vanguard WORSE by | (193,200) |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Percentage WORSE | -32% |

Case #2. Very poor returns in a period of above average iflation: 1969 through 1974

FIRECalc method

| | Real return ra | ite = 75% sto | Real | Real Portfolio | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | \$ rounded to n | earest \$10 | | Start | Return in | Value |
| | _ | Beg Value | Withdrawal | of Year | Year | end of year |
| 1 | 1969 | 1,000,000 | 45,000 | 955,000 | -12.96% | 831,230 |
| 2 | 1970 | 831,230 | 45,000 | 786,230 | 0.41% | 789,450 |
| 3 | 1971 | 789,450 | 45,000 | 744,450 | 10.33% | 821,350 |
| 4 | 1972 | 821,350 | 45,000 | 776,350 | 11.85% | 868,350 |
| 5 | 1973 | 868,350 | 45,000 | 823,350 | -18.47% | 671,280 |
| 6 | 1974 | 671,280 | 45,000 | 626,280 | -27.59% | 453,490 |

Vanguard Method

| Nominal return rate = 75% stocks, 25% bonds | | | Nominal | Amount | | | |
|---|----------------|-------------|------------|---------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Ş | s rounded to n | earest \$10 | | Start | Return in | calculated at | Inflation |
| | | Beg Value | Withdrawal | of Year | Year | end of year | in year |
| 1 | 1929 | 1,000,000 | 45,000 | 955,000 | -7.57% | 882,720 | 6.20% |
| 2 | 1930 | 882,720 | 46,350 | 836,370 | 6.00% | 886,530 | 5.57% |
| 3 | 1931 | 886,530 | 47,740 | 838,790 | 13.93% | 955,660 | 3.27% |
| 4 | 1932 | 955,660 | 49,170 | 906,490 | 15.66% | 1,048,470 | 3.41% |
| 5 | 1933 | 1,048,470 | 50,650 | 997,820 | -11.37% | 884,370 | 8.71% |
| 6 | 1934 | 884,370 | 52,170 | 832,200 | -18.66% | 676,920 | 12.34% |

Vanguard method looks much BETTER – about 50% better – than what really happened to a portfolio's real spending power.

| Vanguard BETTER by | 223,430 |
|--------------------|---------|
| Percentage BETTER | 49% |